HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
TRANSPECTUAL Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

grw

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

200207269-1

Inventor(s):

Cary R. Bybee et al

Application No.: 10/664687

Filing Date:

Sep 16, 2003

Confirmation No.: 4097

Examiner: Shih Wen Hsieh

Group Art Unit:

2853

Title: An Ink Delivery Apparatus With Collapsible Ink Chamber And Method Of Use

Mail Stop Commissioner For Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

	(7) DITIONAL
	DITIONAL
	FEES
\$	0
\$	0
\$	0
\$	0
s \$	
	D \$

Charge \$_____ to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit: Dec 7, 2005

Typed Name: Thomas A. Jolly

Signature

7/1/1

Respectfully submitted,

Cary R. Bybee et al

Ву

Thomas A. Jolly

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg No.:

39241

Date:

Dec 7, 2005

Telephone: 541 715 7331



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Patent Application of

Cary R. Bybee et al.

Application No. 10/664,687

Filed: 16 September 2003

For: An Ink Delivery Regulation Apparatus

With Collapsible Ink Chamber and

Method of Use

Group Art Unit: 2853

Examiner: Hsieh, Shih Wen

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On December 7, 2005, a conference call was held between the undersigned (Thomas A. Jolly, Esq.), Attorney for Applicants, and Examiner Shih Wen Hsieh. The purpose of the interview was to discuss the Examiner's proposed amendments. The undersigned agreed to one of the Examiner's proposed amendments, namely, cancelling claim 69.

Claim 46 was also discussed and distinguished from claim 35 as further narrowing the coupling between the fitment and printhead by the added limitation of being "directly coupled".

Respectfully submitted,

By /Thomas A. Jolly, Reg. No. 39,241/ Thomas A. Jolly, Reg. No. 39,241